



Monte Carlo Simulation

13.04.2026 | Masoud Naseri
masoud.naseri@polimi.it

lasar³
Laboratory of analysis of systems for the assessment of
reliability, risk and resilience



**POLITECNICO
MILANO 1863**

CONTENTS


Sampling

Evaluation of definite integrals

Simulation of system transport


Simulation for reliability/availability analysis

The history of Monte Carlo simulation



Buffon's needle

Buffon considered a set of parallel straight lines a distance D apart onto a plane and computed the probability P that a needle of length $L < D$ randomly positioned on the plane would intersect one of these lines.



$$P = P\{Y \leq L \sin \theta\}$$


$$Y: f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{D} \quad y \in [0, D]$$

$$\theta: f_\theta(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \quad \theta \in [0, \pi]$$

For a fixed value of θ :


$$P = P\{Y \leq L \sin \theta\} = \int_0^{L \sin \theta} f_Y(y) dy = \int_0^{L \sin \theta} \frac{1}{D} dy = \frac{L \sin \theta}{D}$$

For a random value of $\theta \rightarrow$ joint pdf of (y, θ) :



$$P = \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \int_{y=0}^{L \sin \theta} f_{Y,\theta}(y, \theta) dy d\theta = \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\pi} d\theta \int_{y=0}^{L \sin \theta} \frac{1}{D} dy = \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \frac{L \sin \theta}{\pi D} d\theta = \frac{2L}{\pi D}$$

Sampling (pseudo) Random Numbers Uniform Distribution



cdf : $U_R(r) = P\{R \leq r\} = r$

pdf : $u_R(r) = \frac{dU_R(r)}{dr} = 1$

The Random Number Book (1955)

1 million random numbers

73735	45963	78134	63873
02965	58303	90708	20025
98859	23851	27965	62394
33666	62570	64775	78428
81666	26440	20422	05720
15838	47174	76866	14330
89793	34378	08730	56522
78155	22466	81978	57323
16381	66207	11698	99314
75002	80827	53867	37797
99982	27601	62686	44711
84543	87442	50033	14021
77757	54043	46176	42391
80871	32792	87989	72248
30500	28220	12444	71840

Sampling (pseudo) Random Numbers from Uniform Distribution: Linear Congruential Generator (LCG)


$$R \sim U[0,1)$$

$$x_i = (ax_{i-1} + c) \bmod m$$

where $a, c \in [0, m-1]$

$$m \gg 1$$

$$r_i = \frac{x_i}{m}$$



Example: $a = 5, c = 1, m = 16$

$$x_0 = 2 \Rightarrow r_0 = \frac{2}{16}$$

$$x_1 = (5 \cdot 2 + 1) \bmod 16 = 11 \Rightarrow r_1 = \frac{11}{16}$$

...

$$x_{15} = 13 \Rightarrow r_{15} = \frac{13}{16}$$

$$x_{16} = 2$$

Sampling (pseudo) Random Numbers from Uniform Distribution: Linear Congruential Generator (LCG)

$$R \sim U[0,1)$$

$$x_i = (ax_{i-1} + c) \bmod m$$

where $a, c \in [0, m-1]$

$$m \gg 1$$

$$r_i = \frac{x_i}{m}$$

Example: $a = 5, c = 1, m = 16$


$$x_0 = 2 \Rightarrow r_0 = \frac{2}{16}$$

$$x_1 = (5 \cdot 2 + 1) \bmod 16 = 11 \Rightarrow r_1 = \frac{11}{16}$$

...

$$x_{15} = 13 \Rightarrow r_{15} = \frac{13}{16}$$


$$x_{16} = 2$$



What about starting with a different seed (i.e., x_0)

$x_1 = 6$	$r_1 = 0.375$
$x_2 = 15$	$r_2 = 0.9375$
$x_3 = 12$	$r_3 = 0.75$
$x_4 = 13$	$r_4 = 0.8125$
$x_5 = 2$	$r_5 = 0.125$
$x_6 = 11$	$r_6 = 0.6875$
$x_7 = 8$	$r_7 = 0.5$
$x_8 = 9$	$r_8 = 0.5625$
$x_9 = 14$	$r_9 = 0.875$
$x_{10} = 7$	$r_{10} = 0.4375$
$x_{11} = 4$	$r_{11} = 0.25$
$x_{12} = 5$	$r_{12} = 0.3125$
$x_{13} = 10$	$r_{13} = 0.625$
$x_{14} = 3$	$r_{14} = 0.1875$
$x_{15} = 0$	$r_{15} = 0.0$
$x_{16} = 1$	$r_{16} = 0.0625$
	$r_{17} = 0.375$

Sampling (pseudo) random numbers from generic distribution: Inverse Transform Method



Sample R from $U_R(r)$ and find X :


$$X = F_X^{-1}(R)$$

Question: which distribution does X obey?

$$P\{X \leq x\} = P\{F_X^{-1}(R) \leq x\}$$

F $P\{R \leq F_X(x)\}$

Sampling (pseudo) random numbers from generic distribution: Inverse Transform Method



Sample R from $U_R(r)$ and find X :

$$X = F_X^{-1}(R)$$

Question: which distribution does X obey?

$$P\{X \leq x\} = P\{F_X^{-1}(R) \leq x\}$$


Application of the operator F_X to the argument of P above yields

$$P\{X \leq x\} = P\{R \leq F_X(x)\} = F_X(x)$$

Summary:

From an $R \sim U_R(r)$ we obtain an $X \sim F_X(x)$

Buffon's needle: MC simulation with inverse transform method



$$P = P\{Y \leq L \sin \theta\}$$

$$Y: f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{D} \quad y \in [0, D]$$

$$\Theta: f_{\Theta}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \quad \theta \in [0, \pi]$$

Buffon's needle: MC simulation with inverse transform method



- Initialize the counter of the number of times the needle intercepts a line: $N_s = 0$
- Simulate $N \gg 1$ needle throws by

- Sampling Y from the uniform distribution in the interval $[0, D]$: $f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{D} \quad y \in [0, D]$

by using the inverse transform method:

$$F_Y(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{y}{D} & \text{for } 0 \leq y \leq D \\ 0 & \text{for } y < 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } y > D \end{cases} \quad \Rightarrow \quad R = F_Y(y) = \frac{Y}{D} \quad \Rightarrow \quad Y = R_1 D$$

- Sampling Θ from the uniform distribution in the interval $[0, \pi]$ by using the inverse transform method: $\Theta = R_2 \pi$
- If the needle intercepts a line, i.e. $Y \leq L \sin \Theta$, set $N_s = N_s + 1$
- At the end of the procedure: $P = \frac{N_s}{N} \cong \frac{2L}{\pi D}$




Sampling by the Inverse Transform Method: Discrete Distributions

$$\Omega = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots\}$$


$$F_k = P\{X \leq x_k\} = \sum_{i=0}^k P[X = x_i]$$

sample as $R \sim U[0,1)$

- $P[F_{k-1} < R \leq F_k] = F_R(F_k) - F_R(F_{k-1})$
- $R \sim U[0,1)$ and $F_R(r) = r$
- $\Rightarrow P[F_{k-1} < R \leq F_k] = F_k - F_{k-1} = f_k = P[X = x_k]$



Graphically:



Sampling by the Inverse Transform Method: Exponential Distribution

- Markovian system with two states (good, failed)
- hazard rate, $\lambda = \text{constant}$
- cdf $F_T(t) = P\{T \leq t\} = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}$
- pdf $f_T(t) \cdot dt = P\{t \leq T < t + dt\} = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \cdot dt$
- Sampling a failure time T

$$R \equiv F_R(r) = F_T(t) = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}$$



$$T = F_T^{-1}(R) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \ln(1 - R)$$

Sampling by the Inverse Transform Method: Weibull Distribution

$$\text{cdf: } F_T(t) = P\{T \leq t\} = 1 - e^{-\beta t^\alpha}$$

$$\text{pdf: } f_T(t) \cdot dt = P\{t \leq T < t + dt\} = \alpha \beta t^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta t^\alpha} \cdot dt$$

- Sampling a failure time T

$$R \equiv F_R(r) = F_T(t) = 1 - e^{-\lambda t^\alpha}$$



$$T = F_T^{-1}(R) = \left(-\frac{1}{\beta} \ln(1 - R) \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

Sampling by the Rejection Method: von Neumann Algorithm




- Given a pdf $f_X(x)$ limited in (a,b) , let

$$h(x) = \frac{f_X(x)}{f_M}$$

so that

$$0 \leq h(x) \leq 1, \forall x \in (a,b)$$

- The operative procedure



Sampling by the Rejection Method: von Neumann Algorithm

More generally:

$$X \sim f_X(x) = g_{X'}(x) \cdot H(x)$$

$$B_H : \max_x H(x)$$


$$h(x) = \frac{H(x)}{B_H}, \quad 0 \leq h(x) \leq 1$$

$g_{X'}(x)$ is a distribution it is easy to sample from (uniform, normal, ...)

$H(x)$ is a shape correction factor $f(x)/g(x)$

The operative procedure:

- Sample $X' \sim g_{X'}(x)$ and calculate $h(X')$
- sample $R \sim U[0,1)$. If $R \leq h(X')$ the value X' is accepted; else start again.



We show that the accepted value is actually a realization of X sampled from $f_X(x)$

$$P[X' \leq x \mid \text{accepted}] = \frac{P[X' \leq x \cap \text{accepted}]}{P[\text{accepted}]} = \frac{P[X' \leq x \cap R \leq h(X')]}{P[\text{accepted}]}$$

Sampling by the Rejection Method: von Neumann Algorithm

2.

$$\begin{aligned} P[z \leq X' \leq z + dz \cap \text{accepted}] &= P[z \leq X' \leq z + dz] P[R \leq h(z)] = \\ &= g_{X'}(z) dz \cdot h(z) \end{aligned}$$

3.

$$P[X' \leq x \cap R \leq h(X')] = \int_{-\infty}^x g_{X'}(z) dz \cdot h(z)$$

4.

$$\begin{aligned} P[\text{accepted}] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{X'}(z) dz \cdot h(z) = \\ &= \frac{1}{B_H} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{X'}(z) dz \cdot H(z) = \frac{1}{B_H} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) dx = \frac{1}{B_H} \end{aligned}$$

Sampling by the Rejection Method: von Neumann Algorithm

$$\begin{aligned}
 P[X' \leq x | \text{accepted}] &= \frac{P[X' \leq x \cap R \leq h(x')]}{P[\text{accepted}]} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^x g_{X'}(z) dz \cdot h(z)}{\frac{1}{B_H}} \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^x g_{X'}(z) dz \cdot H(z) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(z) dz = F_X(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

The efficiency of the method is given by the probability of accepted:

$$\varepsilon = P[\text{accepted}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{X'}(z) h(z) dz = \frac{1}{B_H}$$

Sampling by the Rejection Method: von Neumann Algorithm

Example

Sample from the pdf: $f_x(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{(1+x)\sqrt{x}} \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1$

Sampling by the Rejection Method: von Neumann Algorithm

Example

What would happen if

- $g(x) = 1$

$$H(x) = f_X(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{(1+x)\sqrt{x}}$$

$$B_H = \max_x \left(\frac{2}{\pi(1+x)\sqrt{x}} \right)$$

$$B_H = \infty \quad \varepsilon = \frac{1}{B_H} \approx 0$$

- $g(x) = \sqrt{x}$

$$H(x) = \frac{4}{3\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{(1+x)x}$$

$$B_H = \max_{x \in [0,1]} \left(\frac{4}{3\pi(1+x)x} \right)$$

$$B_H = \infty \quad \varepsilon = \frac{1}{B_H} \approx 0$$

CONTENTS

Sampling


Evaluation of definite integrals

Simulation of system transport

Simulation for reliability/availability analysis

RAM quantities of interest are definite integrals

Some Examples:



- Unreliability:

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_T(t_{miss}) &= P\{T \leq t_{miss}\} = P\{q(T_1, \dots, T_n) \leq t_{miss}\} = \\
 &= \int_{(t_1, \dots, t_n): q(t_1, \dots, t_n) \leq t_{miss}} f_{T_1, \dots, T_n}(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n
 \end{aligned}$$

- $MTTF = \int_0^{+\infty} t f_T(t) dt$

MC Evaluation of Definite Integrals (1D)

$$G = \int_a^b h(x) dx = \int_a^b g(x) f_X(x) dx$$



- x is a random variable with pdf $f_X(x)$:
 - $g(x)$ is a random variable
- $$\begin{cases} f_X(x) \geq 0 \\ \int_a^b f_X(x) dx = 1 \end{cases}$$



$$E[g(x)] = \int_a^b g(x) f_X(x) dx = G$$

MC Evaluation of Definite Integrals (1D)

$$G = \int_a^b g(x)f(x)dx = E[g(x)]$$



Problem → Estimate $E[g(x)]$

Solution → Dart Game



1) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$

- Sample X_i from $f_X(x)$ (the probability that a shot hits $x \in dx$ is $f(x)dx$)
- Compute $g(X_i)$ (the award is $g(x)$)

End

Consider N trials with results $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$: the average award is: $G_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N g(x_i) = \bar{g}$

Random variable!

$E[G_N]$ ← → $Var[G_N]$

Is G_N a good estimator of $E[g(x)]$?

MC Evaluation of Definite Integrals (1D): Why G_N is a good estimator of G ?

$$G_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N g(x_i)$$



G_N is a random variable with:

$$E[G_N] = E\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N g(x_i)\right] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N E[g(x)] = G$$

$$\text{Var}[G_N] = \text{Var}\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N g(x_i)\right] = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Var}[g(x)] = \frac{1}{N} \text{Var}[g(x)]$$



G_N is an unbiased estimator of G : $E[G_N] = G$

G_N is a consistent estimator of G : $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}[G_N] = 0$

MC Evaluation of Definite Integrals (1D): Example

$$G = \int_0^1 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) dx = \frac{2}{\pi} = 0.6366$$

How can we write the integral
for MC estimation?


$$f(x) = ?$$

$$g(x) = ?$$

$$\text{Var}[G_N] = \frac{1}{N} \text{Var}[g(x)] = \frac{1}{N} \left(E[g^2(x)] - (E[g(x)])^2 \right) = \frac{1}{N} \left(E[g^2(x)] - G^2 \right)$$

Unknown in a practical case!

MC Integral: interpretation of the variance



Definite Integral – Monte Carlo Vs Deterministic Numerical Integration

Why Monte Carlo instead of deterministic numerical integration?

Because the latter suffers from two major issues when dealing with highly multidimensional problems:

1. The number of function evaluations (grid) increases combinatorially with the number of dimensions
2. The boundaries of the multidimensional integration domain D become intractable

Estimation error – variance reduction

- The estimate G_N becomes more precise (less uncertain) as the estimator variance $Var[G_N]$ decreases!
- How can we achieve lower $Var[G_N] = \frac{1}{N} Var[g(x)]$?
 1. Increasing the number N of MC trials \Rightarrow “brute force”
 2. Decreasing $Var[g(x)] \Rightarrow$ variance reduction techniques

$$G = \int_D \left[\frac{f(x)}{f_1(x)} g(x) \right] f_1(x) dx \equiv \int_D g_1(x) f_1(x) dx$$

Forced (biased) MC
simulation


MC estimation of RAM quantities of interest: Unreliability estimation example

$T = \text{System failure time}$

$T_i \approx f_{T_i}(t_i) = \text{Component failure time}$



$F_T(t) ???$



$$F_T(t_{miss}) = P\{T \leq t_{miss}\} = \int_0^{t_{miss}} f_T(t) dt = \int_0^{+\infty} I_g(t) f_T(t) dt$$

with

$$I_g(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \leq t_{miss} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$G = \int_a^b g(x) f(x) dx = E[g(x)]$$


$$G = F_T(t_{miss}) \quad g(x) = I_g(t) \quad f(x) = f_T(t)$$

T is evaluated by means of MC simulation

$$F_T(t_{miss}) = P\{T \leq t_{miss}\} = \int_0^{t_{miss}} f_T(t) dt = \int_0^{+\infty} I_g(t) f_T(t) dt$$

...
...
...

MC estimation of RAM quantities of interest: Unreliability estimation example



$$f_{T_1}(t) = \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t}$$

$$f_{T_2}(t) = \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t}$$

$$t_{miss} = 8760 \text{ h}$$

$$\lambda_1 = 2 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ h}^{-1}$$

$$\lambda_2 = 5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}$$


OBJECTIVE:

MC Estimate System Unreliability
at the Mission Time

$$f_{T_{system}}(t) = ?$$



MC estimation of RAM quantities of interest: Unreliability estimation example

Repeating the system unreliability estimation 1000 times ...



MC estimation of RAM quantities of interest: Unreliability estimation example



Time evolution



MC estimation of RAM quantities of interest: Unreliability estimation example

Time evolution

Why does the standard deviation reaches a maximum and reduces afterwards?



MTTF estimation example

$$MTTF = \int_0^{+\infty} t f_T(t) dt$$

$$G = \int_a^b g(t) f(t) dt = E[g(t)]$$

$$G = MTTF \quad g(t) = t \quad f(t) = f_T(t)$$

Exponential failure time T


$$f_T(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t}$$

$$\lambda = 0,2 \text{ h}^{-1}$$

OBJECTIVE:
MC Estimate System
MTTF

MTTF estimation example

Repeating the system MTTF estimation 1000 times ...



CONTENTS

Sampling

Evaluation of definite integrals

Simulation of system transport

Simulation for reliability/availability analysis

Monte Carlo simulation for system reliability

SYSTEM = system of N_c suitably connected components.


COMPONENT = a subsystem of the system (pump, valve,...) which may stay in different exclusive (multi)states (nominal, failed, stand-by,...). Stochastic transitions from state-to-state occur at stochastic times.

STATE of the SYSTEM at t = the set of the states in which the N_c components stay at t . The states of the system are labeled by a scalar which enumerates all the possible combinations of all the component states.

SYSTEM TRANSITION = when any one of the plant components performs a state transition we say that the system has performed a transition. The time at which the system performs the n -th transition is called t_n and the system state thereby entered is called k_n .

SYSTEM LIFE = stochastic process.

Stochastic Transitions: Governing Probabilities



$\mathcal{T}(t \mid t'; k')dt$ = conditional probability of a transition at $t \in dt$, given that the preceding transition occurred at t' and that the state thereby entered was k' .

$\mathcal{C}(k \mid k'; t)$ = conditional probability that the system enters state k , given that a transition occurred at time t when the system was in state k' .

Both these probabilities form the "transport kernel" :


$$\mathcal{K}(t; k \mid t'; k')dt = \mathcal{T}(t \mid t'; k')dt \mathcal{C}(k \mid k'; t)$$



$\psi(t; k)$ = ingoing transition density or probability density function (pdf) of a system transition at t , resulting in the entrance in state k

System life: random walk

Random walk = realization of the system life generated by the underlying state-transition stochastic process.



The von Neumann's Approach and the Transport Equation

The transition density $\psi(t; k)$ is expanded in series of the partial transition densities:

$\psi^n(t; k)$ = pdf that the system performs the n -th transition at t , entering the state k .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(t, k) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi^n(t, k) = \\ &= \psi^0(t, k) + \sum_{k'} \int_{t_0}^t dt' \psi(t', k') K(t, k | t', k')\end{aligned}$$

Transport equation for the plant states

Monte Carlo Solution to the Transport Equation (1)

Von Neumann approach:

- Initial Conditions: $t_0 = t^*$, $k_0 = k^*$, $P_0 \equiv P^*$
- The subsequent transition densities in the random walk:

$$\psi^1(t_1, k_1) = K(t_1, k_1 | t_0, k_0)$$

$$\psi^2(t_2, k_2) = \sum_{k_1} \int_{t^*}^{t_2} \psi^1(t_1, k_1) dt_1 K(t_2, k_2 | t_1, k_1)$$

- In general:

$$\psi^n(t_n, k_n) = \sum_{k_{n-1}} \int_{t^*}^{t_n} \psi^{n-1}(t_{n-1}, k_{n-1}) dt_{n-1} K(t_n, k_n | t_{n-1}, k_{n-1})$$

$$t_n \rightarrow t \quad k_{n-1} \rightarrow k'$$

$$t_{n-1} \rightarrow t' \quad k_n \rightarrow k$$

Monte Carlo Solution to the Transport Equation (2)

$$\psi^n(t, k) = \sum_{k'} \int_{t^*}^t \psi^{n-1}(t', k') dt' K(t, k | t', k')$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi(t, k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi^n(t, k) = \psi^0(t, k) +$$

$$+ \sum_{k'} \int_{t^*}^t \underbrace{\sum_{n-1=0}^{\infty} \psi^{n-1}(t', k') dt'}_{\psi(t', k')} K(t, k | t', k')$$

$$\left(\sum_{n-1=0}^{\infty} \psi^{n-1}(t', k') = \psi(t', k') \right)$$

Monte Carlo Solution to the Transport Equation (3)

Initial Conditions: (t^*, k^*)

Formally rewrite the partial transition densities:

$$\psi^1(t_1, k_1) = \sum_{k_0} \int_{t^*}^{t_1} dt_0 \psi^0(t_0, k_0) K(t_1, k_1 | t_0, k_0) = K(t_1, k_1 | t^*, k^*)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^2(t_2, k_2) &= \sum_{k_1} \int_{t^*}^{t_2} dt_1 \psi^1(t_1, k_1) K(t_2, k_2 | t_1, k_1) = \\ &= \sum_{k_1} \int_{t^*}^{t_2} dt_1 K(t_1, k_1 | t^*, k^*) K(t_2, k_2 | t_1, k_1) \end{aligned}$$

.....

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^n(t, k) &= \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n-1}} \int_{t^*}^{t_n} dt_{n-1} \int_{t^*}^{t_{n-1}} dt_{n-2} \dots \\ &\dots \int_{t^*}^{t_2} dt_1 K(t_1, k_1 | t^*, k^*) K(t_2, k_2 | t_1, k_1) \dots K(t, k | t_{n-1}, k_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

CONTENTS

Sampling

Evaluation of definite integrals

Simulation of system transport

Simulation for reliability/availability analysis

Monte Carlo Simulation in RAMS


$$G(t) = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \int_0^t \psi(\tau, k) R_k(\tau, t) d\tau \quad \text{Expected value}$$

- $G(t)$: **expected value** — representing unavailability or unreliability.
- Γ : Subset of **system failure states**.
- $\psi(\tau, k)$: Probability density of entering state k at time τ .
- $R_k(\tau, t)$: **Residual probability** — probability the system stays in failure state k until time t , after entering at τ
- $R_k(\tau, t) = 1 \Rightarrow G(t) = \text{unreliability}$
- $R_k(\tau, t) = \text{prob. system not exiting before } t \text{ from the state } k \text{ entered at } \tau < t$
 $\Rightarrow G(t) = \text{unavailability}$



Monte Carlo solution of a definite integral: expected value \cong sample mean

1. Randomly sampling system lives (random walks)
2. Estimating $G(t)$ as the mean over those samples

Phase space



System reliability estimation



$$R(t) = 1 - \frac{\sum_M C_R(t)}{M}$$

System availability estimation


- Divide the mission time, TM , in bins and associate a counter (of the system failure) to each bin:

$$C_U(1), C_U(2), \dots, C_U(\tau)$$

- Initialize each counter to 0:


$$C_U(1), C_U(2), \dots, C_U(\tau) = 0$$

- If the component is failed in $(t_j, t_j + \Delta t)$, the corresponding counter increases $C_U(t_j) = C_U(t_j) + 1$, otherwise $C_U(t_j) = C_U(t_j)$




System availability estimation

Another trial




System availability estimation

Another trial



System availability estimation

Another trial



Monte Carlo Simulation Approaches

- Each trial of a Monte Carlo simulation consists in generating a random walk which guides the system from one configuration to another, at different times.
- During a trial, starting from a given system configuration k' at t' , we need to determine when the next transition occurs and which is the new configuration reached by the system as a consequence of the transition.
- This can be done in two ways which give rise to the so called “indirect” and “direct” Monte Carlo approach.

Indirect Monte Carlo

The indirect approach consists in:

1. Sampling first the time t of a system transition from the corresponding conditional probability density $T(t|t',k')$ of the system performing one of its possible transitions out of k' entered at time t' .
2. Sampling the transition to the new configuration k from the conditional probability $C(k|t,k')$ that the system enters the new state k given that a transition has occurred at t starting from the system in state k' .
3. Repeating the procedure from k' at time t to the next transition.

Indirect Monte Carlo: Example

SAMPLING THE TIME OF TRANSITION

The rate of transition of component A(B) out of its nominal state 1 is:

$$\lambda_1^A = \lambda_1^B$$

• The rate of transition of component C out of its nominal state 1 is:

$$\lambda_1^C$$


• The rate of transition of the system out of its current configuration (1, 1, 1) is:

$$\lambda^{(1,1,1)} = \lambda_1^A + \lambda_1^B + \lambda_1^C$$

• We are now in the position of sampling the first system transition time t_1 , by applying the inverse transform method:

$$t_1 = t_0 - \frac{1}{\lambda^{(1,1,1)}} \ln(1 - R_t)$$

where $R_t \sim U[0, 1)$




Transition rate
exponentially distributed
 $\lambda_1^A = \lambda_1^B \neq \lambda_1^C$

Indirect Monte Carlo: Example

- Assuming that $t_1 < T_M$ (otherwise we would proceed to the successive trial), we now need to determine which transition has occurred, i.e. which component has undergone the transition and to which arrival state.
- The probabilities of components A, B, C undergoing a transition out of their initial nominal states 1, given that a transition occurs at time t_1 , are:

$$\frac{\lambda_1^A}{\lambda^{(1,1,1)}}, \quad \frac{\lambda_1^B}{\lambda^{(1,1,1)}}, \quad \frac{\lambda_1^C}{\lambda^{(1,1,1)}}$$

- Thus, we can apply the inverse transform method to the discrete distribution



Direct Monte Carlo (1)

The direct approach differs from the previous one in that the system transitions are not sampled by considering the distributions for the whole system but rather by sampling directly the times of all possible transitions of all individual components of the system and then arranging the transitions along a timeline, in accordance with their times of occurrence. Obviously, this timeline is updated after each transition occurs, to include the new possible transitions that the transient component can perform from its new state. In other words, during a trial starting from a given system configuration k' at t' :


1. We sample the times of transition $t_{j'_i \rightarrow m_i}^i$, $m_i = 1, 2, \dots, N_{S_i}$, of each component i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, N_c$ leaving its current state j'_i and arriving to the state m_i from the corresponding transition time probability distributions $f_T^{i, j'_i \rightarrow m_i}(t|t')$.
2. The time instants $t_{j'_i \rightarrow m_i}^i$ thereby obtained are arranged in ascending order along a timeline from t_{min} to $t_{max} \leq T_M$.

Direct Monte Carlo (2)

3. The clock time of the trial is moved to the first occurring transition time $t_{min} = t^*$ in correspondence of which the system configuration is changed, i.e. the component i^* undergoing the transition is moved to its new state m_i^* .
4. At this point, the new times of transition $t_{m_i^* \rightarrow l_i^*}^{i^*}, l_i^* = 1, 2, \dots, N_S^{i^*}$, of component i^* out of its current state m_i^* are sampled from the corresponding transition time probability distributions, $f_T^{i^*, m_i^* \rightarrow l_i^*}(t|t^*)$, and placed in the proper position of the timeline.
5. The clock time and the system are then moved to the next first occurring transition time and corresponding new configuration, respectively.
6. The procedure repeats until the next first occurring transition time falls beyond the mission time, i.e. $t_{min} > T_M$.

Compared to the previous indirect method, the direct approach is more suitable for **systems whose components' failure and repair behaviours are represented by different stochastic distribution laws.**


Direct Monte Carlo: Example



Exercise

Consider the discrete probability distribution $f_X(x)$ in the graph:


- 1) Identify the value of the parameter A ;
- 2) Compute the corresponding cumulative distribution;
- 3) Write an algorithm to sample $N=1000$ values from $f_X(x)$ and evaluate the distribution of the obtained samples;



Exercise: Indirect Monte Carlo

Consider the system in the Figure, which is made up of the three binary components.

The (failure) transition from the state 'WORKING' to the state 'FAILED' is described by the constant failure rate λ , whereas the (repair) transition from the state 'FAILED' to the state 'WORKING' by the constant repair rate μ , whose numerical values are reported in the Table below. The system mission time is $T_m=1000$ h and the components initial state at time $t = 0$ h are $C_1='WORKING'$, $C_2=' FAILED'$, $C_3='WORKING'$.



λ	10^{-3} h^{-1}
μ	10^{-1} h^{-1}

- 1) Draw a possible life of the system in the phase space and indicate the states of the system which correspond to a system failure.
- 2) Compute the first transition time using the inverse transform method. Use $R_1=0.232$ as random number sampled from a uniform distribution in the range $[0,1)$.
- 3) Find the state entered by the system as a result of the first transition. Use $R_2=0.787$ as random number sampled from a uniform distribution in the range $[0,1)$.
- 4) Simulate one entire plant life using the random numbers attached, sampled from a uniform distribution in the range $[0,1)$. Start the simulation from the time and the state found in point Q1.3) and Q1.4), respectively.

- | | | |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1) 0.8929 | 15)0.6454 | 29)0.4033 |
| 2) 0.3320 | 16)0.9902 | 30)0.2170 |
| 3) 0.8212 | 17)0.8199 | 31)0.7173 |
| 4) 0.0417 | 18)0.4132 | |
| 5) 0.1077 | 19)0.8763 | |
| 6) 0.5951 | 20)0.8238 | |
| 7) 0.5298 | 21)0.0545 | |
| 8) 0.4188 | 22)0.7186 | |
| 9) 0.3354 | 23)0.8022 | |
| 10)0.6225 | 24)0.7364 | |
| 11)0.4381 | 25)0.7091 | |
| 12)0.7359 | 26)0.5409 | |
| 13)0.5180 | 27)0.1248 | |
| 14)0.5789 | 28)0.9576 | |

References



2013, 2013, XIV, 198 p. 69 illus., 24 in color.

Printed book

Hardcover

► 129,95 € | £117.00 | \$179.00
 ► *139,05 € (D) | 142,94 € (A) | CHF 173.00

eBook

For individual purchases buy at a lower price on springer.com.
 A free preview is available.
 Also available from libraries offering Springer's eBook Collection.
 ► springer.com/ebooks

MyCopy

Printed eBook exclusively available to patrons whose library offers Springer's eBook Collection.**
 ► € | \$ 24.95
 ► springer.com/mycopy



E. Zio, Ecole Centrale Paris, Chatenay-Malabry, France

The Monte Carlo Simulation Method for System Reliability and Risk Analysis

Series: Springer Series in Reliability Engineering

- Illustrates the Monte Carlo simulation method and its application to reliability and system engineering to give the readers the sound fundamentals of Monte Carlo sampling and simulation
- Explains the merits of pursuing the application of Monte Carlo sampling and simulation methods when realistic modeling is required so that readers may exploit these in their own applications
- Includes a range of simple academic examples in support to the explanation of the theoretical foundations as well as case studies provide the practical value of the most advanced techniques so that the techniques are accessible

Monte Carlo simulation is one of the best tools for performing realistic analysis of complex systems as it allows most of the limiting assumptions on system behavior to be relaxed. The Monte Carlo Simulation Method for System Reliability and Risk Analysis comprehensively illustrates the Monte Carlo simulation method and its application to reliability and system engineering. Readers are given a sound understanding of the fundamentals of Monte Carlo sampling and simulation and its application for realistic system modeling.

Whilst many of the topics rely on a high-level understanding of calculus, probability and statistics, simple academic examples will be provided in support to the explanation of the theoretical foundations to facilitate comprehension of the subject matter. Case studies will be introduced to provide the practical value of the most advanced techniques.

This detailed approach makes The Monte Carlo Simulation Method for System Reliability and Risk Analysis a key reference for senior undergraduate and graduate students as well as researchers and practitioners. It provides a powerful tool for all those involved in system analysis for reliability, maintenance and risk evaluations.

Order online at springer.com ► or for the Americas call (toll free) 1-800-SPRINGER ► or email us at: orders-my@springer.com. ► For outside the Americas call +49 (0) 6221-345-4301 ► or email us at: orders-td-individuals@springer.com.

The first € price and the £ and \$ price are net prices, subject to local VAT. Prices indicated with * include VAT for books; the €(D) includes 7% for Germany; the €(A) includes 10% for Austria. Prices indicated with ** include VAT for electronic products: 10% for Germany, 20% for Austria. All prices exclusive of carriage charges. Prices and other details are subject to change without notice. All errors and omissions excepted.

*** Regional restrictions apply.

**Thank you for
your kind attention**



POLITECNICO
MILANO 1863

Contacts

Building B12

Campus Bovisa, Via La Masa 34, 20156, Milan, Italy.

02 2399 6349

Masoud.mnaseri@polimi.it

www.lasar.polimi.it

Follow LASAR³ LinkedIn page for updates on activities, workshops and available thesis

<https://www.linkedin.com/company/lasar-polimi>



lasar³

Laboratory of analysis of systems for the assessment of
reliability, risk and resilience