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Interpretation of Probability in Reliability and Risk Analysis

## Contents

- Boolean Logic
- Definitions of probability


## Why a Lecture on Probability?

## Lecture 1, Slide 22:

«Operative definition of reliability: Probability that an item performs its required function, under given environmental and operational conditions for a stated period of time"

Lecture 1, Slide 42:
Probabilistic Risk Assessment 28


1. What undesired conditions may occur? Accident Scenario, S
2. With what probability do they occur? $\square$ Probability, $p$
3. What damage do they cause?

Consequence, x


RISK $=\left\{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} \boldsymbol{\}}\right.$

## Basic Definitions

Definitions: experiment, sample space, event

- Experiment $\varepsilon$ : process whose outcome is a priori unknown to the analyst (all possible outcomes are a priori known)
- Sample space $\Omega$ : the set of all possible outcomes of $\varepsilon$.
- Event $E$ : a set of possible outcomes of the experiment $\varepsilon$ (a subset of $\Omega$ )


## Boolean Logic

## Definition: Certain events $\rightarrow$ Boolean Logic

Logic of certainty: an event $E$ can either occur (the outcome of the experiment $\varepsilon$ is one of the elements of $E$ ) or not occur

Indicator variable $\quad \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{E}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}0, \text { when } E \text { does not occur } \\ 1, \text { when } E \text { occurs }\end{array}\right.$

## Certain Events (Example)


( $\varepsilon=$ die toss; $\Omega=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\} ; E=O d d$ number)

I perform the experiment and the outcome is ' 3 '

Event
$E, X_{E}$
True $X_{E}=1$

## Boolean Logic Operations

- Negation: $\bar{E}$


## Boolean Logic Operations

- Negation: $\bar{E}$
- Union: $A \cup B$


## Boolean Logic Operations

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { - } & \text { Negation: } & \bar{E} \\ \text { - Union: } & A \cup B\end{array}$

- Intersection: $A \cap B$


## Boolean Logic Operations

- Negation: $\bar{E} \rightarrow X_{\bar{E}}=1-X_{E}$
- Union:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \cup B \rightarrow X_{A \cup B} & =X_{A}+X_{B}-X_{A} X_{B}= \\
& =1-\left(1-X_{A}\right)\left(1-X_{B}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Intersection: $A \cap B \rightarrow X_{A \cap B}=X_{A} X_{B}$

Definition: $A$ and $B$ are mutually exclusive events if: $X_{A \cap B}=0$

## Boolean Logic Operations

- Negation: $\bar{E} \rightarrow \overline{X_{E}}=1-X_{E}$
- Union:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{A \cup B} & =1-\left(1-X_{A}\right)\left(1-X_{B}\right)=1-\prod_{j=A, B}\left(1-X_{j}\right)= \\
& =\coprod_{j=A, B} X_{j}=X_{A}+X_{B}-X_{A} X_{B}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Intersection:

$$
X_{A \cap B}=X_{A} X_{B}
$$

- Definition: $A$ and $B$ are mutually exclusive events if $X_{A \cap B}=0$


# Uncertain Events 

## Uncertain Events

Let us consider: the experiment $\varepsilon$, its sample space $\Omega$ and the event $E$.

( $\varepsilon=$ die toss; $\Omega=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\} ; E=O d d$ number $)$


## Uncertain Events:

Let us consider: the experiment $\varepsilon$, its sample space $\Omega$,
 event $E$.


## Uncertain events can be compared $\rightarrow$ probability of $E=p(E)$

Probability for comparing the
likelihood of events

## Uncertain Events:

Let us consider: the experiment $\varepsilon$, its sample space $\Omega$,
 event $E$.


## Uncertain events can be compared $\rightarrow$ probability of $E=p(E)$

Probability for comparing the likelihood of events

Money for comparing the value of objects

## Definitions of probability



## Three definitions of probability

1. Classical definition
2. Empirical Frequentist Definition
3. Subjective definition

## 1. Classical Definition of Probability

- Let us consider an experiment with $N$ possible elementary, mutually exclusive and equally probable outcomes: $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{N}$ and the event:

$$
E=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \ldots \bigcup A_{M}
$$

$$
p(E)=\frac{\text { number of outcomes resulting in } E}{\text { total number of possible outcomes }}=\frac{M}{N}
$$

## 1. Classical Definition of Probability

- Let us consider an experiment with $N$ possible elementary, mutually exclusive and equally probable outcomes: $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{N}$ :

When is it applicable?

- Gambling (e.g. tossing of a die)
- If no evidence favouring one outcome

$$
E=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \ldots \cup A_{M}
$$ over others

$$
p(E)=\frac{\text { number of outcomes resulting in } E}{\text { total number of possible outcomes }}=\frac{M}{N}
$$

## 1. Classical Definition of Probability (criticisms)

- Let us consider an experiment with $N$ possible elementary, mutually exclusive and equally probable outcomes: $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{N}$ :

When is this requirement met? In most real life situations the

$$
E=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup \ldots \bigcup A_{M}
$$ outcomes are not equally probable!

$$
p(E)=\frac{\text { number of outcomes resulting in } E}{\text { total number of possible outcomes }}=\frac{M}{N}
$$

## 2. Frequentist Definition of Probability

Let us consider: the experiment $\varepsilon$, its sample space $\Omega$ and an event $E$.


$$
\varepsilon=\text { die toss; } \Omega=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\} ; E=\{\text { Odd number }\}
$$

- $n$ times $\varepsilon, E$ occurs $k$ times
( $n=100$ die tosses $\rightarrow k=48$ odd numbers)
- $k / n=$ the relative frequency of occurrence of $E$

$$
(\mathrm{k} / n=48 / 100=0.48)
$$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{n}=p
$$

$p$ is defined as the probability of $E$

## 2. Frequentist Definition of Probability (criticisms)

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{n}=p
$$

- This is not a limit from the mathematical point of view [limit of a numerical series]
- We tacitly assume that the limit exists
- It is not possible to repeat an experiment an infinite number of times ...

probability as a physical characteristic of the object:
- the physical characteristics of a coin (weight, center of mass, ...) are such that when tossing a coin over and over again the fraction of 'head' will be $p$


## 2. Frequentist Definition of Probability (criticisms)

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{n}=p
$$

- Applicable only to those events for which we can conceive of a repeatable experiment (e.g. not to the event «Inter wins 2023/2024 champion league»)
- The experiment conditions cannot be identical
- let us consider the probability that a specific valve $V$ of a specific Oil \& Gas plant will fail during the next year
- what should be the population of similar valves?
- Large population: all the valves used in industrial plants. Considering data from past years, we will have a large number $n$, but data may include valves very different to $V$
- Small population: valve used in Oil\&Gas of the same type, made by the same manufacturer with the same technical characteristics $\rightarrow$ too small $n$ for limit computation.

Similarity Vs population size dilemma

## 2. Frequentist Definition of Probability (criticisms)

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{n}=p
$$

Some events (e.g. in the nuclear industry) have very low probabilities (e.g. $\mathrm{p} \approx 10^{-6}$ ) (RARE EVENTS)

Very difficult to observe


The frequentist definition is not applicable

## 3. Subjective Definition of Probability

$\mathrm{P}(E)$ is the degree of belief that a person (assessor) has that E will occur, given all the relevant information currently known to that person (background knowledge)

- Probability is a numerical encoding of the state of knowledge of the assessor (De Finetti: "probability is the feeling of the analyst towards the occurrence of the event")
- $P(E)$ is conditional on the background knowledge $K$ of the assessor:

$$
P(E)=P(E \mid K)
$$

- Background knowledge typically includes data/models/expert knowledge
- If the background knowledge changes $\rightarrow$ the probability may change
- Two interpretations of subjective probability:
- Betting interpretation
- Reference to a standard for uncertainty


## 3. Betting Interpretation

## P $\{$ Iran will win next FIFA world cup $\mid K\}=0.05$



## 3. Betting Interpretation

$P(E)$ is the amount of money that the person assigning the probability would be willing to bet if a single unit of payment were given in return in case event $E$ were to occur and nothing otherwise.

## Fair betting:

The opposite must also hold: 1-P(E) is also the amount of money that the person assigning the probability would be willing to bet if a single unit of payment were given in return in case event $E$ were not to occur and nothing otherwise.


## 3. Betting Interpretation: two sideness of the bet



## 3. Betting Interpretation (Criticism)

$\mathrm{P}(E)$ is the amount of money that the person assigning the probability would be willing to bet if a single unit of payment were given in return in case event $E$ were to occur and nothing otherwise.
The opposite must also hold (1-P(E) ) is also the amount of money that the person assigning the probability would be willing to bet if a single unit of payment were given in return in case event E were not to occur and nothing otherwise.

- probability assignment depends from the value judgment about money and event consequences
- Extreme case: the assessor may even think that in case of LOCA in a Nuclear Power Plant he/she will die and so the payment will be useless)


## 3. Reference to a standard for uncertainty

$\mathrm{P}(E \mid K)$ is the number such that the uncertainty about the occurrence of $E$ is considered equivalent by the person assigning the probability (assessor) to the uncertainty about drawing a red ball from an urn of $N$ balls containing $\mathrm{P}(E \mid K)^{*} N$ red balls.

## $E=\{G e r m a n y$ will win next FIFA WORLD CUP\}

$$
P(E \mid K)=0.33
$$



## urn

## NUREG 75/014

# Nureg 75/014: Reactor Safety Study: An Assessment of Accident Risks in U.S. Commercial Nuclear Power Plants (Rasmussen et al., 1975) 


«The overall probability of a complete core meltdown is about $5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ per reactor per year»

## NUREG 75/014: probability interpretation

# Nureg 75/014: Reactor Safety Study: An Assessment of Accident Risks in U.S. Commercial Nuclear Power Plants <br> (Rasmussen et al., 1975) 

«The overall probability of a complete core meltdown is about $5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ per reactor per year"

> Interpretation?
"the likelihood of an average citizen's being killed in a reactor accident is about the same as the chance «Widely quoted and of being killed by a falling meteorite"
much criticized statement»

## Probability theory

## Probability Theory: Kolmogorov Axioms

1. $0 \leq p(E) \leq 1$
2. $p(\Omega)=1 \quad p(\varnothing)=0$
3. Addition law:

Let $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}$ be a finite set of mutually exclusive events:

$$
\left(X_{E_{i}} \cap X_{E_{j}}=\emptyset\right) .
$$

$$
p\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p\left(E_{i}\right)
$$



